





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark, Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/546,966		04/11/2000	David T. Pollock	ENDOV-51639	4186
24201	7590	03/11/2003			
		ON LEE & UTEC	EXAMINER		
HOWARD F			BUI, VY Q		
TENTH FLO		L			
LOS ANGEI		90045	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ŕ			3731	
				DATE MAILED: 03/11/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

.

<u></u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/546,966	POLLOCK, DAVID T.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Vy Q. Bui	3731
	Th MAILING DATE of this communication app	ars on the cover sh t with th	correspondenc address
Period fo	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	/ IS SET TO EVOIDE 4 MONTH	I(S) EDOM
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti or within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror or cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) 🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 A	August 2000 .	
2a)□	·	is action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matters, p	prosecution as to the merits is
Disposit	closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1900 C.D. 11,	400 U.G. 210.
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application	1.	
:	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
	Claim(s) 1-35 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
• •	cion Papers		
<i>'</i> —	The specification is objected to by the Examine		
10)∐	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept		
44\	Applicant may not request that any objection to th The proposed drawing correction filed on		
11)[If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		TO VOG BY THE EXAMINE.
121	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		
,—	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119	(a)-(d) or (f).
<i>,</i> —) All b) Some * c) None of:	p	\-'\ \ - '\ \\'
a)	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		ation No
	Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But	rity documents have been recei	
*	See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ved.
14) 🔲 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	$\Theta(e)$ (to a provisional application
	 a)		
Attachme			
2) Noti	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/546,966

Art Unit: 3731

•;

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-24, drawn to a medical apparatus, classified in class 623, subclass 1.15.
- II. Claims 25-35, drawn to a method of manufacturing a compressible endoprosthesis, classified in class 623, subclass 901.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process of making the product can be a water jet cutting process, which is a materially different process from the process as claimed.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Application/Control Number: 09/546,966

Art Unit: 3731

*

Species I: F. 1.

Species II: F. 6.

Species III: F. 8.

Species IV: F. 9.

Species V: F. 12.

Species VI: F. 13.

Species VII: F. 14.

For each group I or II, applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, at least claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to the Applicant's Attorney on 3/4/2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vy Q. Bui whose telephone number is 703-306-3420. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J Milano can be reached on 703-308-2496. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-2708 for regular communications and 703-308-2708 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

VQB

3/4/2003.